

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/072,675	KOREGELOS, GEORGE
	Examiner Mark Spisich	Art Unit 1744

**All Participants:**

(1) Mark Spisich.

**Status of Application:** amended (now allowed)

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) James Cypher.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 11/15/2004

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*1,2,7 and 12-24*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicant's rep to remove the recitation of "infinite" in claim 1 in that no member is so flexible or bendable. The non-elected claims were deleted and claim 7 was amended because it depended from a deleted claim. These changes to be made by examiner's amendment.